

4700 PERSONNEL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**4710 EVALUATION OF CERTIFICATED/LICENSED PERSONNEL**

THE BOARD IS COMMITTED TO ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND TO THE REALIZATION OF INDIVIDUAL STUDENT POTENTIAL. THIS COMMITMENT REQUIRES INSTRUCTION OF THE HIGHEST QUALITY FROM A COMPETENT PROFESSIONAL STAFF.

THE BOARD RECOGNIZES THAT SUCH HIGH QUALITY INSTRUCTION RESULTS FROM THE CONSISTENT IMPLEMENTATION OF A COMPLEX SET OF TEACHING SKILLS AND PRACTICES. THE TEACHER EVALUATION PROCESS IS DESIGNED TO ASSIST IN THE PROFESSIONAL GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHERS SO THAT THEY MAY BEST MEET THE NEEDS OF THEIR STUDENTS THROUGH THE ONGOING DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHING SKILLS AND PRACTICES.

IN KEEPING WITH STATE LAW AND BOARD POLICY, THE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM SHALL SERVE AS A BASIS FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF INSTRUCTION, ENHANCE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CURRICULAR PROGRAMS, AND MEASURE PROFESSIONAL GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT AND LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE OF LICENSED PERSONNEL. THE EVALUATION SYSTEM ALSO SHALL SERVE AS THE MEASUREMENT OF SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE AND DOCUMENTATION FOR DISMISSAL FOR UNSATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE.

THE BOARD SHALL CONSULT WITH DISTRICT ADMINISTRATORS, TEACHERS, PARENTS AND THE ADVISORY SCHOOL DISTRICT PERSONNEL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION COUNCIL IN DEVELOPING THE EVALUATION SYSTEM.

THE BASIC REQUIREMENTS OF THE EVALUATION SYSTEM SHALL BE:

1. ALL LICENSED PERSONNEL, INCLUDING FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME TEACHERS, SHALL BE REGULARLY EVALUATED BY ADMINISTRATORS/SUPERVISORS WHO HAVE AN ADMINISTRATIVE CERTIFICATE OR PRINCIPAL OR ADMINISTRATOR LICENSE AND EDUCATION AND TRAINING IN EVALUATION SKILLS WHICH WILL ENABLE THEM TO MAKE FAIR, PROFESSIONAL AND CREDIBLE EVALUATIONS OF THE PERSONNEL WHOM THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR EVALUATING.
2. EVALUATIONS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN A FAIR AND FRIENDLY MANNER AND SHALL BE BASED ON WRITTEN CRITERIA WHICH PERTAIN TO GOOD TEACHING AND THE STAFF MEMBER'S PARTICULAR POSITION.
3. STANDARDS FOR SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE OF LICENSED PERSONNEL AND CRITERIA WHICH CAN BE USED TO DETERMINE WHETHER PERFORMANCE MEETS SUCH STANDARDS SHALL BE DEVELOPED. ONE OF THE STANDARDS FOR MEASURING TEACHER PERFORMANCE SHALL BE DIRECTLY RELATED TO CLASSROOM INSTRUCTION. THE DISTRICT PERSONNEL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION COUNCIL SHALL BE AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE.
4. ALL EVALUATION STANDARDS AND CRITERIA SHALL BE GIVEN IN WRITING TO ALL LICENSED PERSONNEL AND SHALL BE COMMUNICATED AND DISCUSSED BY THE PERSON BEING EVALUATED AND THE EVALUATOR PRIOR TO AND DURING THE COURSE OF THE EVALUATION.
5. THE SYSTEM SHALL IDENTIFY THE VARIOUS METHODS WHICH WILL BE USED FOR INFORMATION COLLECTION DURING THE EVALUATION PROCESS SUCH AS DIRECT AND INFORMAL OBSERVATION. ~~AND PEER, PARENT OR STUDENT INPUT.~~ ALL DATA ON WHICH AN EVALUATION JUDGMENT IS BASED WILL BE DOCUMENTED TO THE

Adopted: February 5, 1985 (4131), corrected September 3, 1991, January 1995, January 1996.

Renumbered: January 16, 1990 Revised: January 19, 1993

Revised and Board of Education Approved: October 6, 1998

2nd Reading-October 17, 2006

EXTENT POSSIBLE AND AVAILABLE FOR THE TEACHER'S REVIEW.

6. THE EVALUATION SYSTEM SHALL SPECIFY THE FREQUENCY AND DURATION OF THE OBSERVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE ON A REGULAR BASIS TO ENSURE THE COLLECTION OF A SUFFICIENT AMOUNT OF DATA FROM WHICH RELIABLE CONCLUSIONS AND FINDINGS MAY BE DRAWN. PROBATIONARY TEACHERS SHALL RECEIVE AT LEAST TWO DOCUMENTED OBSERVATIONS AND ONE EVALUATION THAT RESULTS IN A WRITTEN REPORT EVERY YEAR. NON-PROBATIONARY TEACHERS SHALL RECEIVE AT LEAST ONE DOCUMENTED OBSERVATION EACH YEAR AND AT LEAST ONE EVALUATION THAT RESULTS IN A WRITTEN REPORT EVERY THREE YEARS.
7. ALL WRITTEN EVALUATION REPORTS SHALL BE SPECIFIC AS TO PERFORMANCE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES, SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFY WHEN A DIRECT OBSERVATION WAS MADE, IDENTIFY DATA SOURCES, AND CONTAIN A WRITTEN IMPROVEMENT PLAN IF WARRANTED. THE WRITTEN IMPROVEMENT PLAN SHALL BE SPECIFIC AS TO WHAT IMPROVEMENTS IF ANY ARE NEEDED IN PERFORMANCE.

THE STAFF MEMBER CONCERNED SHALL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE DOCUMENT WITH THE SUPERVISOR WHO MAKES THE EVALUATION, AND BOTH SHALL SIGN IT. THE EVALUATION DOCUMENT SHALL BE REVIEWED BY A SUPERVISOR OF THE EVALUATOR WHOSE SIGNATURE ALSO SHALL APPEAR ON IT.

IF THE EVALUATEE DISAGREES WITH ANY OF THE CONCLUSIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE IN THE EVALUATION REPORT, HE OR SHE MAY ATTACH ANY WRITTEN EXPLANATION OR OTHER RELEVANT DOCUMENTATION.

8. THE SYSTEM SHALL CONTAIN A PROCESS TO BE FOLLOWED WHEN A TEACHER'S PERFORMANCE IS DEEMED UNSATISFACTORY. IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATE LAW, THIS PROCESS SHALL PROVIDE FOR A NOTICE OF DEFICIENCIES, A REMEDIATION PLAN AND AN OPPORTUNITY TO CORRECT THE DEFICIENCIES.

THE SCHOOL DISTRICT SHALL CONDUCT ALL EVALUATIONS SO AS TO OBSERVE THE LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF LICENSED PERSONNEL. NO INFORMALITY IN ANY EVALUATION OR IN THE MANNER OF MAKING OR RECORDING ANY EVALUATION SHALL INVALIDATE THE EVALUATION. NO MINOR DEVIATION IN THE EVALUATION PROCEDURES SHALL INVALIDATE THE PROCESS OR THE EVALUATION REPORT.

NOTHING IN THIS POLICY SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO IMPLY IN ANY MANNER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF ANY PROPERTY RIGHTS OR EXPECTANCY OR ENTITLEMENT TO CONTINUED EMPLOYMENT NOT EXPLICITLY ESTABLISHED BY STATUTE, BOARD POLICY OR CONTRACT. NEITHER SHALL THIS POLICY AND/OR THE EVALUATION SYSTEM BE DEEMED OR CONSTRUED TO ESTABLISH ANY CONDITIONS PREREQUISITE RELATIVE TO RENEWAL OF CONTRACTS, TRANSFER, ASSIGNMENT, DISMISSAL OR OTHER EMPLOYMENT DECISIONS RELATING TO SCHOOL PERSONNEL.

~~UNLESS AN EVALUATOR ACTS IN BAD FAITH OR MALICIOUSLY WITH RESPECT TO THE APPLICATION OF A PROCEDURE ASSOCIATED WITH THE EVALUATION PROCESS, ANY MISAPPLICATION OF A PROCEDURE, FAILURE TO APPLY A PROCEDURE OR ADHERE TO A PRESCRIBED TIMELINE SHALL NOT BE AN IMPEDIMENT TO OR PREVENT THE BOARD FROM MODIFYING AN EMPLOYEE'S CONTRACT STATUS OR ASSIGNMENT UNDER THE TERMS OF THE EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT AND STATE LAW.~~

ALL EMPLOYMENT DECISIONS REMAIN WITHIN THE SOLE AND CONTINUING DISCRETION OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION, SUBJECT ONLY TO THE CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS PRESCRIBED BY COLORADO LAW.

Adopted: February 5, 1985 (4131), corrected September 3, 1991, January 1995, January 1996.
 Renumbered: January 16, 1990 Revised: January 19, 1993
 Revised and Board of Education Approved: October 6, 1998
 2nd Reading-October 17, 2006

LEGAL REFS.: C.R.S. [22-9-101](#) et seq. (*Licensed Personnel Performance Evaluation Act*)
C.R.S. [22-63-301](#) (*grounds for dismissal*)
C.R.S. [22-63-302](#) (8) (*burden of proof*)

Adopted: February 5, 1985 (4131), corrected September 3, 1991, January 1995, January 1996.
Renumbered: January 16, 1990 Revised: January 19, 1993
Revised and Board of Education Approved: October 6, 1998
2nd Reading-October 17, 2006